# Investigating Local and Configural Shape Processing with SSVEPs

Peter J. Kohler<sup>1,2</sup>, Shaya Samet<sup>1,2</sup>, Jasman Kahlon<sup>1,2</sup>, Nicholas Baker<sup>3</sup>, Erez Freud<sup>1,2</sup> & James H. Elder<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Psychology and <sup>2</sup>Centre for Vision Research, York University, ON; <sup>3</sup>Loyola University, IL

European Conference on Visual Perception Monday Aug 26th, 2024



Background		Experiment Design					
Object shape information underlies our ability to detect, recognize and manipulate	• stimuli shown periodically to	imuli shown periodically to one stimulus cycle = 2 image		images were 23 × 23% visual angle			
<ul> <li>objects, and a large fraction of the visual cortex is devoted to object processing.</li> <li>Object shape is comprised of both local and configural shape information.</li> <li>Behavioural experiments have revealed that profound sensitivity to both</li> <li>higher-order statistics of local shape, as well as configural shape information<sup>1,2</sup>.</li> <li>Here we use EEG with a Steady-State Visual Evoked Potentials (SSVEP)</li> <li>paradigm that allows us to isolate responses from the human visual system</li> <li>that are driven by local curvature statistics of natural shapes. In a separate study, we use the same approach to measure responses to configural shape information.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>elicit periodic steady-state responses in visual cortex</li> <li>"less natural" image class was always shown first</li> <li>12 cycles per trial, first and last cycle excluded from analysis</li> <li>12 images per class per trial, each image shown once per exper</li> <li>30 trials per condition</li> </ul>	none $i \omega$ i mage A 500 ms riment	animal image B 500 ms 1 second stim	image A 500 ms hulus cycle = 1 H	image B 500 ms z stimulation free	quency	
Stimuli		Data Analysis					
<ul> <li>Study 1</li> <li>synthetic, maximum-entropy shape stimuli</li> </ul>	• high-density EEG was collected using a 128-electrode Magstim EGI Geodesic Sensor Net					odd	





variance &

kurtosis

• SSVEP data was filtered in the spectral domain and then



- distribution of natural shape silhouttes, from unconstrained ("none") to fully matched ("all"), but have no configural shape information<sup>1,2</sup>
- pairing image classes lets us measure sensitivity to these local statistics

#### Study 2

- progressively more matched • "Frankenstein" stimuli: upper half of the object has been flipped relative to the lower half
- disrupts object recognition in human observers<sup>3</sup>, while preserving local and configural shape
- to directly relate our brain data to human object recognition performance, we paired Frankenstein and intact stimuli for shapes where Frankensteining had a strong and weak behavioral effect



animal

## **Results:** Study 1



projected back into the time domain to generate single-cycle average timecourses, containing signal from the first six odd and even harmonics

- even harmonics capture brain responses that are the same for the two image classes shown, likely dominated by relatively low-level image-update responses
- as expected, even harmonics were for the most part identical across all conditions in our two studies
- odd harmonics will capture brain responses that differ between the two image classes<sup>4</sup> and therefore allows us to isolate responses driven by our shape manipulations
- our analysis focused on three electrode regions-of interest: One over occipital cortex, and two over left and right temporal cortices

## Results: Study 2





#### weak behavioral effect



strong behavioral effect

condition, we also ran a version where both

• object exemplars were always mismatched

each pair, to avoid apparent motion and

the first and the second image update

Right temporal ROI

systematic differences at the pixel level between

odd harmonics

none vs variance produced responses peaking 240 ms after image update, with broad topography centered over occipital cortex

all vs animal produced the largest response of all conditions, again peaking at 240 ms, with topography suggesting involvement of temporal regions

#### Temporal ROIs: Hemisphere comparisons

#### var-kurt vs all

- modest response in occipital ROIs, but somewhat larger in temporal ROIs, especially on the left side
- the left hemisphere ROI lead the right hemisphere by ~30 ms
- for the even harmonics, amplitudes were higher in the left hemisphere, and there was no timing difference

#### all vs animal

• the right hemisphere temporal ROI lead the left by ~50 ms





• responses were more modest overall, compared to the all vs animal condition in Study 1

- responses were largest in right temporal ROI and peaked later than in Study 1, around 350 ms
- responses were strongly modulated both by inversion and strength of the behavioral Frankenstein effect

### Conclusions

The visual system is sensitive to the variance of the local curvature distribution, but not kurtosis. Stimuli that are matched in terms of additional higher-order moments, produces a possibly left-lateralized response.

Natural shapes produce highly robust, right-lateralized responses when compared to control stimuli with fully matched local curvature distributions. Inverting the shapes reduces this response, but does not eliminate it, suggesting that some aspects of configural processing survive inversion.

#### • weak or no amplitude effect

• for even harmonics, amplitudes were higher in the right hemisphere, and there was no timing difference

#### Temporal ROIs: Inversion effect

• we ran another condition comparing the fully matched stimulus ("all") to inverted versions of the animal shape silhouettes

all

 response was reduced relative to the upright condition, but not eliminated

 some configural processing survives

Intact natural shapes produce right lateralized responses when compared to Frankenstein stimuli, that peak 100 ms later than those produced when comparing natural shapes and fully matched synthetic shapes. These responses are only found for stimulus sets that produce a behavioral effect on object recognition, and are eliminated by inversion, suggesting that they capture a neural signature of object recognition.

## References

- . Elder, JH, Oleskiw, T & Fründ, I (2018). The Role of Global Cues in the Perceptual Grouping of Natural Shapes. Journal of Vision 18, 1–21.
- 2. Fründ, I & Elder, JH (2015). Tuning of the visual system to the curvature natural shapes, Computational and Systems Neuroscience (COSYNE).
- 3. Baker, N & Elder, JH (2022). Deep learning models fail to capture the configural nature of human shape perception. *IScience* 25(9):104913.
- 4. Kohler, PJ, Clarke, A, Yakovleva, A, Liu, Y & Norcia, AM (2016) Representation of maximally regular textures in human visual cortex. Journal of Neuroscience, 36(3), 714-729

Supported by The Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada, The Canada First Research Excellence Fund, and The Faculty of Health at York University.



TO APPLICATIONS

YORK UNIVERSITY